BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:) File No: 17-2004-159459
JOHN HASSAN RASTEGAR, M.D.	OAH No: 2007080368
Physician's & Surgeon's)
Certificate No. A 53847)))
Respondent.)) .

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision and Order by the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 25, 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED <u>January 25, 2</u>008

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Barbara Yaroslavsky Chair, Panel B

H			
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.,	Attorney General	
2	of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorn ESTHER P. KIM, State Bar N	ey General Io. 225418	
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 17	7 02	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2872		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	, dr	BEFORE T	
9	M	EDICAL BOARD OF	CALIFORNIA
	DER.	ARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	
10	In the Matter of the Accusation	n Against:	Case No. 17-2004-159459
12	JOHN HASSAN RASTEGAL		OAH No. 2007080368
13	HASSAN RASTEGAR-FAR	:	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	1300 North Vermont Ave., #3 Los Angeles, CA 90027		DISCH LINARI ORDER
15	Physician & Surgeon's Certifi	cate No. A53847	
16		Respondent.	
17			
18			settlement of this matter, consistent with the
19	1	į	of Medical Quality, Medical Board of
20	California of the Department	of Consumer Affairs, t	he parties hereby agree to the following
21	Stipulated Settlement and Dis	sciplinary Order which	will be submitted to the Division for
22	approval and adoption as the	final disposition of the	Accusation.
23		PARTIE	<u>es</u>
24	l. Barbar	a Johnston (Complaina	nt) is the current Executive Director of the
25	Medical Board of California.	David T. Thornton, th	e Former Executive Director of the Medical
26	Board of California, brought	this action solely in his	official capacity. Complainant is
27	represented in this matter by	Edmund G. Brown Jr.,	Attorney General of the State of California,

28 by Esther P. Kim, Deputy Attorney General.

2. Respondent John Hassan Rastegar, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Robert H. Gans, whose address is 11500 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 400, Los Angeles, CA 90064.

3. On or about January 4, 1995, the Medical Board of California issued Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A53847 to John Hassan Rastegar, M.D. The Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 17-2004-159459 and will expire on January 31, 2009, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No.17-2004-159459 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Division), and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 12, 2007. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 17-2004-159459 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 17-2004-159459. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 17-2004-159459 and that he has thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action.
- 9. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 17-2004-159459 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving this Accusation, and to avoid the uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate may be disciplined as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
 - 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties

7

10 11

12 13

> 14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order.

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A53847 issued to John Hassan Rastegar, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program at the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program").

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to Respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program.

Based on Respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, Respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not Respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the Program not later than six months after

respondent's initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

Failure to participate in and timely complete successfully all phases of the clinical training program outlined above is a violation of probation.

date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Division, including, but not limited to, any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Division or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision and Accusation, and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision, Accusation, and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision and Accusation, fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours, and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Division or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine or billing, or both, and

 whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely, billing appropriately or both.

It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Division or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Division or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and prepared to assume immediate monitoring responsibility. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified by the Division or designee.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

Failure to maintain all records, or to make all appropriate records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, or to comply with this condition as outlined above is a violation of probation.

- 3. <u>SOLO PRACTICE</u> Respondent is prohibited from engaging in the solo practice of medicine. However, this requirement is waived with the practice monitor in place.
- 4. NOTIFICATION Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive

declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter. 8. PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician and surgeon's certificate.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Division, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

2

3

4

5

6

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

•

	9.	INTERVIEW	WITH THE	DIVISION	OR ITS	DESIGNEE	Respondent
hall be ava	ilable in 1	person for inter	views either a	at Responde	ent's place	e of business	or at the
probation u	nit office	, with the Divis	ion or its desi	ignec, upon	request a	t various inter	rvals, and
ither with	or withou	t prior notice th	roughout the	term of pro	obation.		

Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, Respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws and Probation Unit Compliance.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, Respondent's license shall not be cancelled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

In the event Respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason Respondent stops practicing medicine in California, Respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing

within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 23. VIOLATION OF PROBATION Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1	
1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent John Hassan Rastegar, M.D. the
2	terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and
3	Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.
4	DATED: 12/07.
5	ROBERT H. GANS
6	Attorney for Respondent
7	
8	ENDORSEMENT
9	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
10	submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California.
11	DATED: 12/14/07
12	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California
13	PAUL C. AMENT
14	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
15	1001
16	A la / · /
17	ESTHER P. KIM Deputy Attorney General
18	Attorneys for Complainant
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	· ·

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 17-2004-159459

ACCUSATION

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General 1 of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 E. A. JONES III Deputy Attorney General ESTHER P. KIM, State Bar No. 225418 4 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2872 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 7 Attorneys for Complainant 9

> BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

13

14

15

16

10

11

12

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

JOHN HASSAN RASTEGAR, M.D.

(AKA HASSAN RASTEGAR-FARD, M.D.) 1300 North Vermont Ave., Suite 310 Los Angeles, CA 90027

17

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A53847

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

18

Respondent.

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

David T. Thornton (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his 1.

official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California.

On or about January 4, 1995, the Medical Board of California issued 2.

Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number A53847 to John Hassan Rastegar, M.D.

(Respondent). The Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times

relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2009, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

1	I
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7 8	
7	
8	
9 10	
0 ا	
11	
12	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20)
21	
22	,
23	,
24	ļ
25	;

26

27

28

3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality (Division), under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2227 of the Code states:

- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the division, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the division.
- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division.
- "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the division.
 - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the division.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the division or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the division and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who

is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."

6. Section 2266 of the Code states:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

I			

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c) of the Code in that he was repeatedly negligent in his care and treatment of his patients John J., Malcolm C., and Hoa D. The circumstances are as follows:

Patient John J.

8. On or about March 7, 2004, patient John J., who was seventy years old at the time, presented to Good Samaritan Hospital with respiratory distress and hypotension. Patient John J. had a history of multiple arrhythmias¹ (requiring permanent pacemaker), intracranial hemorrhage (requiring ventriculoperitoneal shunt), seizure disorder, hemiparesis,² multiple decubiti, hypothyroidism, and gastrostomy tube placement. In the emergency department, the patient was noted to be in respiratory distress and intubated. The emergency room physician noted laboratory values of sodium 117, potassium 7.5, BUN 33, creatinine 0.8, white blood cell count 13, hemoglobin 12, and hematocrit 35. The impression included: 1) SOB,³ 2) COPD⁴ exacerbation, 3) MRSA⁵ tracheobronchitis, 4) hyperkalemia,⁶ and 5) hyponatremia.⁵

9. On or about March 7, 2004, during the admission of patient John J., the emergency department physician noted that the patient was hyperkalemic with a potassium level of 7.5. The emergency department physician began treating the patient's

1. Abnormal heartbeat rhythm.

- 2. Paralysis of half of the body.
- 3. Shortness of breath.
- 4. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
- 5. Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus.
- 6. Elevated potassium.
- 7. Low sodium.

///

the admitting physician.

10. On or about March 7, 2004, the patient was admitted to the

hyperkalemia by ordering bicarbonate, insulin, dextrose, and kayexolate before contacting

10. On or about March 7, 2004, the patient was admitted to the intensive care unit at Good Samaritan Hospital under the care of Respondent. Despite the noted elevated potassium levels, Respondent ordered 20 meq⁸ of potassium in the intravenous fluid. No cause for the elevated potassium levels were noted, no follow-up electrolyte levels were ordered, and no follow-up chemistry data to determine effectiveness of the therapy were noted. The supplemental potassium in the intravenous fluid was discontinued per another physician later that day.

Management of Anemia

- 11. On or about March 8, 2004, Respondent was paged at 8:55 a.m. and 9:30 a.m. due to a decrease in hemoglobin from 9 to 7.7. Respondent returned the page at 10:40 a.m. and without evaluating the patient, requested a cardiology and hematology consult for a possible blood transfusion. The hematology consultant evaluated the patient and ordered the blood transfusion at 2:15 p.m. The transfusion began at 4:05 p.m.
- 12. Respondent failed to address the patient's anemia by failing to initially evaluate the patient and order the blood transfusion. The relevant laboratory studies were not ordered, and no evaluation was done to check for internal bleeding. Instead, Respondent relied on the hematology and cardiology consultants to address the patient's anemia.

Management of Hypothyroidism

13. On or about March 7, 2004, through March 15, 2004, Respondent failed to address the patient's hypothyroidism. Although the patient had a known history of hypothyroidism, a TSH test was not ordered to determine whether the patient was receiving appropriate thyroid hormone replacement.

8. Milliequivalent.

Use of Vancomycin

- 14. On or about January 8, 2004, during a previous admission at Good Samaritan Hospital, an infectious disease consultant noted a drug rash with eosinophilia9 likely due to Vancomycin. On or about March 7, 2004, the paramedic records indicate that the patient was allergic to Vancomycin. However, Respondent's history and physical did not document any allergies for this patient and Vancomycin was prescribed on or about March 7, 2004.
- 15. On or about March 7, 2004, Vancomycin was discontinued per another physician due to a possible allergic reaction to Vancomycin.
- 16. On or about March 8, 2004, Respondent was contacted regarding the Vancomycin allergy. The nursing notes indicate that the Respondent became upset and stated he wanted to continue the Vancomycin. Respondent ordered the nurse to call the nursing home where the patient resided to verify the Vancomycin allergy.
- 17. On or about March 8, 2004, the nursing home was contacted and the allergy to Vancomycin was confirmed.

Departures from the Standard of Care

- 18. The following acts and omissions of Respondent in his care and treatment of patient John J., constituted departures from the standard of care:
 - A. Failing to manage the patient's hyperkalemia on or about March 7, 2004;
 - B. Failing to manage the patient's anemia on or about March 8, 2004;
 - C. Failing to manage the patient's hypothyroidism on or about March 7, 2004, through March 15, 2004; and

^{9.} Increase in the number of eosinophils in the blood; it commonly occurs in allergic reactions and in some inflammatory conditions.

Patient Hoa D.
23. On or about February 20, 2004, patient Hoa D., who was eighty-
seven years old at the time, presented to Good Samaritan Hospital after falling at home
and sustaining a left hip intertrochanteric fracture. The patient's blood pressure in the
emergency department was 199/70. The patient's previous medications included
Benazepril, Valsartan, Isordil, Digoxin, Lasix, Sprinolactone, and Clonidine. The patient
was initially evaluated in the emergency department of Good Samaritan Hospital and was
subsequently admitted to the intensive care unit under the care of Respondent.
Respondent ordered Morphine for pain and intravenous fluids. Respondent did not order
any further medications.
Management of Hypertension
24. On or about February 20, 2004, the nursing notes indicate that the
patient's blood pressure was elevated to 191/53. Respondent was contacted and Clonidin

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- e was ordered.
- 25. On or about February 22, 2004, the nursing notes indicate that the patient's blood pressure was elevated to 180/90. Clonidine was again ordered.
- 26. On or about February 24, 2004, the nursing notes indicate that the patient's blood pressure was elevated to 166/56. Clonidine and Isordil were ordered.
- 27. On or about February 25, 2004, a cardiologist note in the patient file indicated that the blood pressure could be managed by the primary medical doctor.
- 28. On or about February 29, 2004, the patient's blood pressure was elevated to 203/104. Respondent ordered a cardiology consult.
- 29. On or about March 2, 2004, the patient's blood pressure was elevated to 190/79 and 195/67. Respondent ordered a cardiology consult and the cardiologist ordered Procardia XL.
- 30. On or about March 3, 2004, the patient's blood pressure was elevated to 214/63. Respondent ordered a cardiology follow-up for malignant hypertension.